From: <u>Tom Minogue</u>

To: tomminogue@btinternet.com;

Subject: FW: Conclusions to Mr. Oag"s response.

Date: 24 December 2013 09:38:33

From: Chic.Brodie.msp@scottish.parliament.uk [mailto:Chic.Brodie.

msp@scottish.parliament.uk] **Sent:** 23 December 2013 19:07

To: tomminogue@btinternet.com; Alex.Johnstone.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; Angus.MacDonald.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; Anne.Mctaggart.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; Anne.Peat@scottish.parliament.uk; Chris.Hynd@scottish.parliament.uk; David.Torrance.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; Jackson.Carlaw.MSP@scottish.parliament.uk; Jim. Eadie.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; John.Wilson.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; Mark.Griffin.msp@scottish.parliament.uk; Petitions@scottish.parliament.uk **Subject:** RE: Conclusions to Mr. Oag's response.

I understand the principle of Mr Minogue's request but I want to extend that beyond the narrow issue of Freemasons and would like to understand relationships with P2, Opus Dei, St Vincent de Paul etc

I am sure that will achieve the equilibrium we all seek in openness in the judiciary Chic

From: Tom Minogue [mailto:tomminogue@btinternet.com]

Sent: 23 December 2013 11:53

To: Johnstone A (Alex), MSP; MacDonald A (Angus), MSP; McTaggart A (Anne), MSP; Peat A (Anne); Brodie C (Chic), MSP; Hynd C (Chris); Stewart D (David) MSP; Torrance D (David), MSP; Carlaw J (Jackson), MSP; Eadie J (Jim), MSP;

Wilson J (John), MSP; Griffin M (Mark), MSP; Petitions

Subject: Conclusions to Mr. Oag's response.

Dear Convener, I note that Mr Denis Oag has copied you in on an e-mail response he sent to me, following my criticism of his team's briefing paper. I would comment on this e-mail as follows:

To summarise, Mr. Oag has backtracked to a large extent on the SPICe briefing paper.

He apologises for the fact that the date given for Jack Straw's U-turn was out by 2 years, but detracts from his apology by lamely claiming that "this date was not key to any of the issues described in the briefing."